Modern slavery statement checker
Points to check for when reviewing a supplier's modern slavery statement.
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As a responsible buyer, you’ll want to be sure that your suppliers are taking the necessary steps to make their supply chains more transparent. You’ll be checking that they’ve each published an annual Slavery and Human Trafficking Statement that is compliant with section 54 of the UK Modern Slavery Act 2015.

But would you know how to tell a good statement from a bad one?

The Modern Slavery Statement Checker identifies six signs of a good statement to look for, along with six more things that may suggest your supplier needs to improve. It’s designed to help buyers and other stakeholders spot good practice in transparency reporting.

Six good signs:

It’s a **good sign** when a statement:

- Is up to date, signed and dated, clearly setting out what’s been done during the last year and identifies those people in the organisation that are responsible for its supply chains;
- Recognises what specific risks exist in their supply chains and does not hide away from this reality;
- Explains the steps that have actually been taken within the organisation and with suppliers to prioritise and address the highest risks;
- Is specific about training for key staff that has been carried out, particularly on the signs of slavery and human trafficking to look for and what action staff should take;
- Demonstrates that the company knows what it will do to protect, remediate and compensate the victims if an instance of slavery or human trafficking is discovered; or
- Contains clear, deliverable actions for the coming year(s) to further mitigate risks identified, working with suppliers and collaborating with others.

Six bad signs

It’s a **bad sign** when a statement:

- Reproduces text from other entities’ statements or clearly follows a template;
- Contains vague, void, and/or grand statements such as "We have a zero tolerance to slavery" or “our staff receive training”;
- Refers to the statement as a "policy" rather than an annual statement, or it reads like a policy, unlikely to track progress from year to year;
- Shows a misunderstanding of the risks by either denying their existence or considering that they don’t apply to their supply chains;
Assumes that the responsibility lies on the organisation’s suppliers and relies on what they say they do, for example "Our suppliers check workers' right to work in the UK" or just pass the responsibility on by stating, for example, “We put clauses in our contracts to ensure that our suppliers take action”;

or

Fails to own the risks and responsibilities towards the organisation’s supply chain and looks for easy ways out, such as declaring "We will terminate any relationship with a supplier that finds slavery in its supply chain."
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